UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/579,881 | 03/07/2007 | Frank Scott | ALU 800974/LUC-B14 | 5960 | |
| | 7590 10/25/201 aw Group, LLC | 1 | EXAMINER | | |
| One N. LaSalle Street 44th Floor | | | LEBASSI, AMANUEL | | |
| Chicago, IL 60602 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2617 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 10/25/2011 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comment | 10/579,881 | SCOTT ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | AMANUEL LEBASSI | 2617 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ldress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Au | igust 2011. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) An election was made by the applicant in response | | set forth during the | e interview on | | | |
| | the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) 1-9,11-23 and 25-30 is/are pending in | the application. | | | | | |
| , , , , , , , , | 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-23 and 25-30</u> is/are rejected. | · · · ——— | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| | _ | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | • | | | | | |
| , | | v the Evaminer | | | | |
| 1) The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | |
| <u> </u> | priority under 25 LLS C & 110(a) | (d) or (f) | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | priority under 35 0.5.0. § 119(a) | -(u) or (i). | | | | |
| · — <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| dee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | nte | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Space No(s)/Mail Date Compare No(s)/Mail Date Compare No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | | | | | |